arXiv:1201.1056v1 [math.OA] 5 Jan 2012

CUNTZ-KRIEGER ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH HILBERT C^*-QUAD MODULES OF COMMUTING MATRICES

KENGO MATSUMOTO

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ be the C^*-algebra associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module arising from commuting matrices A, B with entries in $\{0,1\}$. We will show that if the associated tiling space $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ is transitive, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is simple and purely infinite. In particulr, for two positive integers N, M, the K-groups of the simple purely infinite C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ are computed by using the Euclidean algorithm.

1. Introduction

In [11], the author has introduced a notion of C^*-symbolic dynamical system, which is a generalization of a finite labeled graph, a λ-graph system and an automorphism of a unital C^*-algebra. It is denoted by $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma)$ and consists of a finite family $\{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of endomorphisms of a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\rho_\alpha(Z_\mathcal{A}) \subset Z_\mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \rho_\alpha(1) \geq 1$ where $Z_\mathcal{A}$ denotes the center of $\mathcal{A}$. It provides a subshift Λ_ρ over Σ and a Hilbert C^*-bimodule $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}^\rho$ over $\mathcal{A}$ which gives rise to a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra ([11], cf. [6], [19]). In [13] and [14], the author has extended the notion of C^*-symbolic dynamical system to C^*-textile dynamical system which is a higher dimensional analogue of C^*-symbolic dynamical system. The C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$ consists of two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma^\rho)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \eta, \Sigma^\eta)$ with a common unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a commutation relation between the endomorphisms ρ and η through a map κ stated below. Set

$$\Sigma^{\rho\eta} = \{(\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^\rho \times \Sigma^\eta \mid \eta_b \circ \rho_\alpha \neq 0\},$$
$$\Sigma^{\eta\rho} = \{(a, \beta) \in \Sigma^\eta \times \Sigma^\rho \mid \rho_\beta \circ \eta_a \neq 0\}.$$

We assume that there exists a bijection $\kappa : \Sigma^{\rho\eta} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\eta\rho}$, which we fix and call a specification. Then the required commutation relations are

$$\eta_b \circ \rho_\alpha = \rho_\beta \circ \eta_a \qquad \text{if} \quad \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta). \tag{1.1}$$

A C^*-textile dynamical system provides a two-dimensional subshift and a multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodule that has multi right actions and multi left actions and multi inner products. Such a multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodule is called a Hilbert C^*-quad module, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\rho,\eta}$. In [14], the author has introduced a C^*-algebra associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\rho,\eta}}$ has been constructed in a concrete way from the structure of the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\rho,\eta}$ by a two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction of C^*-algebras from Hilbert C^*-bimodules. It is generated by the quotient images of creation operators on two-dimensional analogue of Fock Hilbert module by module maps of compact operators. As a result, the C^*-algebra has been proved to have a universal property subject to certain operator relations of generators encoded by structure of the Hilbert C^*-quad module ([14]).

Let A, B be two $N \times N$ matrices with entries in nonnegative integers. We assume that both A and B are essential, which means that they have no rows or columns identically to zero vector. They yield directed graphs $G_A = (V, E_A)$ and $G_B = (V, E_B)$ with a common vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and edge sets E_A and E_B respectively, where the edge set E_A consist of $A(i,j)$-edges from the vertex v_i to the vertex v_j and E_B consist of $B(i,j)$-edges from the vertex v_i to the vertex v_j. We then have two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^A, E_A)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^B, E_B)$ with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathbb{C}^N$. Denote by $s(e), r(e)$ the source vertex and the range vertex of an edge e. Put

$$\Sigma^{AB} = \{(\alpha, b) \in E_A \times E_B \mid r(\alpha) = s(b)\},$$
$$\Sigma^{BA} = \{(a, \beta) \in E_B \times E_A \mid r(a) = s(\beta)\}.$$

Assume that the commutation relation

$$AB = BA \tag{1.2}$$

holds. We may take a bijection $\kappa : \Sigma^{AB} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{BA}$ such that $s(\alpha) = s(a), r(b) = r(\beta)$ for $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$, which we fix. This situation is called an LR-textile system introduced by Nasu ([16]). We then have a C^*-textile dynamical system (see [14])

$$(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^A, \rho^B, E_A, E_B, \kappa).$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}$ the associated Hilbert C^*-quad module defined in [14]. We set

$$E_\kappa = \{(\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_A \times E_B \times E_B \times E_A | \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Each element of E_κ is called a tile. Let $X_{A,B}^\kappa \subset (E_\kappa)^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ be the two-dimensional subshift of the Wang tilings of E_κ (cf. [24]). It consists of the two-dimensional configurations $x : \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow E_\kappa$ compatible to their boundary edges on each tile, and is called the subshift of the tiling space for the specification $\kappa : \Sigma^{AB} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{BA}$. We say that $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ is transitive if for two tiles $\omega, \omega' \in E_\kappa$, there exists $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X_{A,B}^\kappa$ such that $\omega_{0,0} = \omega, \omega_{i,j} = \omega'$ for some $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $j < 0 < i$. We set

$$\Omega_\kappa = \{(\alpha, a) \in E_A \times E_B | s(\alpha) = s(a), \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in E_A, b \in E_B\} \tag{1.4}$$

and define two $|\Omega_\kappa| \times |\Omega_\kappa|$-matrcies A_κ and B_κ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ by

$$A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in E_A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

for $(\alpha, a), (\delta, b) \in \Omega_\kappa$, and

$$B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } b \in E_B, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

for $(\alpha, a), (\beta, d) \in \Omega_\kappa$ respectively. Put the matrix

$$H_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} A_\kappa & A_\kappa \\ B_\kappa & B_\kappa \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.7}$$

It has been proved in [14] that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa}$ for the matrix H_κ. In this paper, we first show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.9). *The subshift $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ of the tiling space is transitive if and if the matrix H_κ is irreducible. In this case, H_κ satisfies condition (I) in the sense of* [2]. *Hence if the subshift $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ of the tiling space is transitive, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.*

We will second see the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 2.10). *If the matrix A or B is irreducible, the matrix H_κ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I), so that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.*

Let N, M be positive integers with $N, M > 1$. They give 1×1 commuting matrices $A = [N], B = [M]$. We will present K-theory formulae for the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ with exchanging specification κ. The directed graph G_A associated to the matrix $A = [N]$ is a graph consists of N-self directed loops denoted by E_A with a vertex denoted by v. Similarly the directed graph G_B consists of M-self directed loops denoted by E_B with the vertex v. We fix a specification $\kappa : E_A \times E_B \longrightarrow E_B \times E_A$ defined by exchanging $\kappa(\alpha, a) = (a, \alpha)$ for $(\alpha, a) \in E_A \times E_B$. We will have the following K-theory formulae for the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$. In its computation, the Euclidean algorithm will be used.

Theorem 1.3. *For integers $1 < N \le M \in \mathbb{N}$ and a specification κ of exchanging directed N-loops and M-loops, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ is a simple purely infinite Cuntz-Krieger algebra whose K-groups are*

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}) \cong & 0, \\
K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}) \cong & \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z}}^{M-2} \\
& \oplus \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(M-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(M-1)\mathbb{Z}}^{N-2} \\
& \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}](M-1)(M+N-1)\mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

where $d = (N-1, M-1)$ the greatest common divisor of $N-1$ and $M-1$, and the sequence $k_0, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}$ is the successive integral quotients of $M-1$ by $N-1$ by the Euclidean algorithm, and the integer $[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}]$ is defined by inductively

$$\begin{gathered}
[k_0] = 1, \quad [k_1] = k_1, \quad [k_1, k_2] = 1 + k_1 k_2, \quad \dots, \\
[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}] = [k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j] k_{j+1} + [k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}].
\end{gathered}$$

We remark that the C^*-algebras studied in this paper are different from the higher rank graph algebras studied by A. Kumjian–D. Pask [7], G. Robertson–T. Steger [21], V. Deaconu [3], and etc. .

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ and by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of positive integers and the set of nonnegative integers respectively.

2. Transitivity of the tilings $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ and simplicity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_{\kappa}}$

Let Σ be a finite set. The two-dimensional full shift over Σ is defined to be

$$\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2} = \{(x_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mid x_{i,j} \in \Sigma\}.$$

An element $x \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is regarded as a function $x : \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \Sigma$ which is called a configuration on $\mathbb{Z}^2$. For a vector $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let $\sigma^m : \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ be the translation along vector m defined by

$$\sigma^m((x_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}) = (x_{i+m_1,j+m_2})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}.$$

A subset $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is said to be translation invariant if $\sigma^m(X) = X$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. It is obvious to see that a subset $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is translation invariant if and only if X is invariant only both horizontaly and vertically, that is, $\sigma^{(1,0)}(X) = X$ and $\sigma^{(0,1)}(X) = X$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, put

$$[-k,k]^2 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid -k \le i,j \le k\} = [-k,k] \times [-k,k].$$

A metric d on $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is defined by for $x, y \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ with $x \ne y$

$$d(x,y) = \frac{1}{2^k} \quad \text{if} \quad x_{(0,0)} = y_{(0,0)},$$

where $k = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid x_{[-k,k]^2} = y_{[-k,k]^2}\}$. If $x_{(0,0)} \ne y_{(0,0)}$, put $k = -1$ on the above definition. If $x = y$, we set $d(x,y) = 0$. A two-dimensional subshift X is defined to be a closed, translation invariant subset of $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ (cf. [9, p.467]). A two-dimensional subshift X is said to have the *diagonal property* if for $(x_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}, (y_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X$, the conditions $x_{i,j} = y_{i,j}, x_{i+1,j-1} = y_{i+1,j-1}$ imply $x_{i,j-1} = y_{i,j-1}, x_{i+1,j} = y_{i+1,j}$ (see [13]). The diagonal property has the following property: for $x \in X$ and $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the configuration x is determined by the diagonal line $(x_{i+n,j-n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ through (i,j).

We henceforth go back to our previous situation of C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^A, \rho^B, E_A, E_B, \kappa)$ coming from $N \times N$ commuting matrices A and B with specification κ as in Section 1. We always assume that both matrices A and B are essential. It yields a two-dimensional subshift $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ as follows: Let Σ be the set E_κ of tiles defined in (1.3). For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_\kappa$, define maps $t(=\text{top}), b(=\text{bottom}) : E_\kappa \longrightarrow E_A$ and $l(=\text{left}), r(=\text{right}) : E_\kappa \longrightarrow E_B$ by setting

$$t(\omega) = \alpha, \quad b(\omega) = \beta, \quad l(\omega) = a, \quad r(\omega) = b$$

as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha=t(\omega)"] \arrow[d, "a=l(\omega)"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b=r(\omega)"] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta=b(\omega)"'] & \circ
\end{tikzcd}$$

A configuration $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in E_\kappa^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is said to be *paived* if the conditions

$$t(\omega_{i,j}) = b(\omega_{i,j+1}), \quad r(\omega_{i,j}) = l(\omega_{i+1,j}), \quad l(\omega_{i,j}) = r(\omega_{i-1,j}), \quad b(\omega_{i,j}) = t(\omega_{i,j-1})$$

hold for all $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ be the set of all paved configurations $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in E_\kappa^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$. It consists of the Wang tilings of the tiles of E_κ (see [24]). The following proposition is easy.

Proposition 2.1. *$X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ is a two-dimensional subshift having diagonal property.*

Let $e_\omega, \omega \in E_\kappa$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{|E_\kappa|}$. Put the projection $E_\omega = \rho^B_b \circ \rho^A_\alpha(1) (= \rho^A_\beta \circ \rho^B_a(1)) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ for $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_\kappa$. We set

$$\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa = \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \mathcal{A}_N.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa$ has a natural structure of not only Hilbert C^*-right module over $\mathcal{A}_N$ but also two other Hilbert C^*-bimodule structure, called Hilbert C^*-quad module. By two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction of Hilbert C^*-bimodule algebra ([19]), we have introduced a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa}$ (see [14] for detail construction). Let Ω_κ be the subset of $E_A \times E_B$ defined in (1.4). We define two $|\Omega_\kappa| \times |\Omega_\kappa|$-matrcies A_κ and B_κ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ as in (1.5) and (1.6). The matrices A_κ and B_κ represent the concatenations of edges as in the following figures respectively:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & {} \\
\circ \arrow[r] & \circ &
\end{tikzcd}$$

if $A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = 1$,

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[d] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & \circ \\
{} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

if $B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d)) = 1$.

Let H_κ be the $2|\Omega_\kappa| \times 2|\Omega_\kappa|$ matrix defined in (1.7). We have proved the following result in [14].

Theorem 2.2. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa}$ associated with Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa$ defined by commuting matrices A, B and a specification κ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa}$ for the matrix H_κ. Its K-groups $K_*(\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa})$ are computed as*

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa}) = \mathbb{Z}^n / (A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n,$$
$$K_1(\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa}) = \mathrm{Ker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $n = |\Omega_\kappa|$.

We will study a relationship between transitivity of the tiling space $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ and simplicity of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa}$. An essential matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ is said to satisfy condition (I) (in the sense of [2]) if the shift space defined by the topological Markov chain for the matrix is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. The condition is equivalent to the condition that every loop in the associated directed graph has an exit ([8]). It is a fundamental result that a Cuntz-Krieger algebra is simple and purely infinite if the underlying matrix is irreducible and satisfies condition (I) ([2]). We will find a condition of the two-dimensional subshift $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ of the tiling space under which the matrix H_κ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I). Hence the condition yields the simplicity and purely infiniteness of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$.

We are assuming that both of the matrices A and B are essential. Then we have

Lemma 2.3. *Both of the matrices A_κ and B_κ are essential.*

Proof. For $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$, by definition of Ω_κ, there exist $\beta \in E_A$ and $b \in E_B$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$. Since A is essential, one may take $\beta_1 \in E_A$ such that $s(\beta_1) = r(b)(= r(\beta))$. Hence $(b, \beta_1) \in \Sigma^{BA}$. Put $(\alpha_1, b_1) = \kappa^{-1}(b, \beta_1) \in \Sigma^{AB}$ so that $(\alpha_1, b) \in \Omega_\kappa$ and $A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\alpha_1, b)) = 1$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b_1"'] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ \arrow[r, "\beta_1"] & \circ
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $(\delta, b) \in \Omega_\kappa$ there exists $\alpha \in E_A$ such that $r(\alpha) = s(\delta)(= s(b))$ because A is essential. Hence $(\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^{AB}$. Put $(a, \beta) = \kappa(\alpha, b)$ so that $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$ and $A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = 1$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & {} \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore one sees that A_κ is essential, and similarly that B_κ is essential. $\square$

Hence we have

Proposition 2.4. *The matrix H_κ is essential and satisfies condition (I).*

Proof. By the previous lemma, both of the matrices A_κ and B_κ are essential. Hence every row of A_κ and of B_κ has at least one 1. Since

$$H_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} A_\kappa & A_\kappa \\ B_\kappa & B_\kappa \end{bmatrix},$$

every row of H_κ has at least two $1'$s. This implies that a loop in the directed graph associated to the matrix H_κ must has an exit so that the matrix H_κ satisfies condition (I). $\square$

For $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, and $C, D = A$ or B, we have

$$[C_\kappa D_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) = \sum_{(\alpha_1, a_1) \in \Omega_\kappa} C_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\alpha_1, a_1)) D_\kappa((\alpha_1, a_1), (\alpha', a')).$$

Hence $[A_\kappa A_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $(\alpha_1, a_1) \in \Omega_\kappa$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, a_1) = (a, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in E_A$ and $\kappa(\alpha_1, a') = (a_1, \beta_1)$ for some $\beta_1 \in E_A$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & {} \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ \arrow[r, "\beta_1"] & \circ &
\end{tikzcd}$$

And also $[A_\kappa B_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $(\alpha_1, a_1) \in \Omega_\kappa$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, a_1) = (a, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in E_A$ and $\kappa(\alpha_1, b_1) = (a_1, \alpha')$ for some $b_1 \in E_B$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b_1"'] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & \circ \\
 & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Similarly $[B_\kappa A_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $(\alpha_1, a_1) \in \Omega_\kappa$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \alpha_1)$ for some $b \in E_B$ and $\kappa(\alpha_1, a') = (a_1, \beta_1)$ for some $\beta_1 \in E_A$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b"'] & \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & {} \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta_1"] & \circ &
\end{tikzcd}$$

And also $[B_\kappa B_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $(\alpha_1, a_1) \in \Omega_\kappa$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \alpha_1)$ for some $b \in E_B$ and $\kappa(\alpha_1, b_1) = (a_1, \alpha')$ for some $b_1 \in E_B$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b"'] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b_1"'] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & \circ \\
{} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 2.5. $A_\kappa B_\kappa = B_\kappa A_\kappa$.

Proof. For $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, we have $[A_\kappa B_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) = m$ if and only if there exist $(\alpha_i, a'_i) \in \Omega_\kappa, i = 1, \ldots, m$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, a'_i) = (a, \beta_i)$ for some $\beta_i \in E_A$ and $\kappa(\alpha_i, b_i) = (a'_i, \alpha')$ for some $b_i \in E_B$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_i"] \arrow[d, "a'_i"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b_i"'] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta_i"] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & \circ \\
 & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Put $(a_i, \beta'_i) = \kappa(\beta_i, a')$. We then have $(\beta_i, a_i) \in \Omega_\kappa$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "a'_i"'] & \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta_i"] \arrow[d, "a_i"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & {} \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta'_i"] & \circ &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $(\beta_i, a_i) = (\beta_j, a_j)$ in Ω_κ, then we have $\beta_i = \beta_j$ so that $a'_i = a'_j$ and hence $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$. Therefore we have $[B_\kappa A_\kappa]((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) = m$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *The following four conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The matrix* H_κ *is irreducible.*

(ii) *For* $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, *there exist* $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *such that*

$$A_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0, \qquad B_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^m((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0.$$

(iii) *The matrix* $A_\kappa + B_\kappa$ *is irreducible.*

(iv) *For* $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, *there exists a paved configuration* $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X^\kappa_{A,B}$ *such that*

$$t(\omega_{0,0}) = \alpha, \quad l(\omega_{0,0}) = a, \quad t(\omega_{i,j}) = \alpha', \quad l(\omega_{i,j}) = a'$$

for some $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ *with* $j < 0 < i$.

Proof. (i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii): The identity

$$H^n_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} A_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n & A_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n \\ B_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n & B_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1}$$

implies the equivalence between (i) and (ii).

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii): Suppose that for $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $A_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0$ so that

$$(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^{n+1}((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0.$$

Hence the matrix $A_\kappa + B_\kappa$ is irreducible.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): As A_κ and B_κ are both essential, for $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$ there exists $(\alpha_1, a_1), (\alpha_2, a_2) \in \Omega_\kappa$ such that

$$A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\alpha_1, a_1)) = 1, \qquad B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\alpha_2, a_2)) = 1.$$

Since $A_\kappa + B_\kappa$ is irreducible, there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n((\alpha_1, a_1), (\alpha', a')) > 0, \qquad (A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^m((\alpha_2, a_2), (\alpha', a')) > 0.$$

Hence we have

$$A_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^n((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0, \qquad B_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^m((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0.$$

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv): For $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, take $(\alpha_1, a_1) \in \Omega_\kappa$ and $\beta \in E_A$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, a_1) = (a, \beta)$. By (ii), there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $B_\kappa(A_\kappa + B_\kappa)^m((\alpha, a), (\alpha', a')) > 0$. One may take $b' \in E_B$ and $\beta' \in E_A$ satisfying $\kappa(\alpha', b') = (a', \beta')$, so that there exists a paved configuration $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ such that $\omega_{0,0} = (\alpha, a_1, a, \beta)$ and $\omega_{i,j} = (\alpha', b', a', \beta')$ for some $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $j < 0 < i$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] & \circ \arrow[d] & & & \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \ddots & & & \\
 & {} & & & & \\
 & & & \ddots & & \\
 & & & & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b'"'] \\
 & & & & \circ \arrow[r, "\beta'"] & \circ
\end{tikzcd}$$

(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): The assertion is clear. □

Definition. A two-dimensional subshift $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ is said to be *transitive* if for two tiles $\omega, \omega' \in E_\kappa$ there exists a paved configuration $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ such that $\omega_{0,0} = \omega$ and $\omega_{i,j} = \omega'$ for some $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $j < 0 < i$.

Theorem 2.7. *The subshift $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ of the tiling space is transitive if and only if the matrix H_κ is irreducible.*

Proof. Assume that the matrix H_κ is irreducible. Hence the condition (iv) in Lemma 2.6 holds. Let $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta), \omega' = (\alpha', b', a', \beta') \in E_\kappa$ be two tiles. Since A is essential, there exists $\beta_1 \in E_A$ such that $r(\beta)(= r(b)) = s(\beta_1)$, so that $(b, \beta_1) \in \Sigma^{BA}$. One may take $(\alpha_1, b_1) \in \Sigma^{AB}$ such that $\kappa(\alpha_1, b_1) = (b, \beta_1)$ and hence $(\alpha_1, b) \in \Omega_\kappa$ as in the following figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b_1"'] \\
\circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ \arrow[r, "\beta_1"] & \circ
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $(\alpha_1, b), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, by (iv) in Lemma 2.6, there exists $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ such that $t(\omega_{0,0}) = \alpha_1, l(\omega_{0,0}) = b, t(\omega_{i,j}) = \alpha', l(\omega_{i,j}) = a'$ for some $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $j < 0 < i$. Since $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ has diagonal property, there exists a paved configuration $(\omega'_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ such that $\omega'_{0,0} = \omega, \omega'_{i,j} = \omega'$. Hence $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ is transitive.

Conversely assume that $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ is transitive. For $(\alpha, a), (\alpha', a') \in \Omega_\kappa$, there exist $b, b' \in E_B$ and $\beta, \beta' \in E_A$ such that $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta), \omega' = (\alpha', b', a', \beta') \in E_\kappa$. It is clear that the transitivity of $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ implies the condition (iv) in Lemma 2.6, so that H_κ is irreducible. □

Lemma 2.8. *If A or B is irreducible, $X^{\kappa}_{A,B}$ is transitive.*

Proof. Suppose that the matrix A is irreducible. For two tiles $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta), \omega' = (\alpha', b', a', \beta') \in E_\kappa$, there exist concatenated edges $(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \alpha')$ in the graph G_A for some edges $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in E_A$. Since $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ has diagonal property, there exists a configuration $(\omega_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \in X_{A,B}^\kappa$ such that $\omega' = \omega_{i,j}$ for some $i > 0, j = -1$. Hence $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ is transitive. $\square$

Since the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa}$ by [14], we see the following theorems.

Theorem 2.9. *The subshift $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ of the tiling space is transitive if and if the matrix H_κ is irreducible. In this case, H_κ satisfies condition (I). Hence if the subshift $X_{A,B}^\kappa$ of the tiling space is transitive, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.*

By Lemma 2.8, we have

Theorem 2.10. *If the matrix A or B is irreducible, the matrix H_κ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I), so that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.*

3. THE ALGEBRA $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ FOR TWO POSITIVE INTEGERS N, M

Let N, M be positive integers with $N, M > 1$. They give 1×1 commuting matrices $A = [N], B = [M]$. We will present K-theory formulae for the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ with exchanging specification κ. In the computations below, we will use Euclidean algorithm to find order of the torsion part of the K_0-group. The directed graph G_A for the matrix $A = [N]$ is a graph consists of N-self directed loops with a vertex denoted by v. The N-self directed loops are denoted by E_A. Similarly the directed graph G_B for $B = [M]$ consists of M-self directed loops denoted by E_B with the vertex v. We fix a specification $\kappa : E_A \times E_B \longrightarrow E_B \times E_A$ defined by exchanging $\kappa(\alpha, a) = (a, \alpha)$ for $(\alpha, a) \in E_A \times E_B$. Hence $\Omega_\kappa = E_A \times E_B$ so that $|\Omega_\kappa| = |E_A| \times |E_B| = N \times M$. We then know $A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = 1$ if and only if $b = a$, and $B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d)) = 1$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha$ as in the following figures respectively.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "a=b"'] & {} \\ {} & {} & \end{tikzcd} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & {} \\ \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha=\beta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & {} \\ {} & \end{tikzcd}$$

In [14], the K-groups for the case $N = 2$ and $M = 3$ have been computed such that

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[2],[3]}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}, \qquad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[2],[3]}}) \cong 0.$$

We will generalize the above computations.

Let I_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix and E_n the $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are all $1'$s. For an $N \times N$-matrix $C = [c_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^N$ and an $M \times M$-matrix $D = [d_{k,l}]_{k,l=1}^M$, denote by $C \otimes D$ the $NM \times NM$ matrix

$$C \otimes D = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}D & c_{12}D & \dots & c_{1N}D \\ c_{21}D & c_{22}D & \dots & c_{2N}D \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{N1}D & c_{N2}D & \dots & c_{NN}D \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence we have

$$E_N \otimes I_M = \begin{bmatrix} I_M & I_M & \dots & I_M \\ I_M & I_M & \dots & I_M \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I_M & I_M & \dots & I_M \end{bmatrix}, \qquad I_N \otimes E_M = \begin{bmatrix} E_M & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & E_M & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & E_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

We denote by $E_{[N]} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}, E_{[M]} = \{a_1, \dots, a_M\}$. As $\Omega_\kappa = E_{[N]} \times E_{[M]}$, the basis of $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^M$ are ordered lexcographically from left as in the following way:

$$(\alpha_1, a_1), \dots, (\alpha_1, a_M), (\alpha_2, a_1), \dots, (\alpha_2, a_M), \dots, (\alpha_N, a_1), \dots, (\alpha_N, a_M) \tag{3.1}$$

Let A_κ and B_κ be the matrices defined in the previous section for the matrices $A = [N], B = [M]$ with exchanging specification κ. The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 3.1. *The matrices A_κ, B_κ are written as*

$$A_\kappa = E_N \otimes I_M, \qquad B_\kappa = I_N \otimes E_M$$

along the ordered basis (3.1). *Hence we have*

$$A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM} = \begin{bmatrix} E_M & I_M & \dots & I_M \\ I_M & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & I_M \\ I_M & \dots & I_M & E_M \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

We denote by H_0 the matrix $A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM}$. By Theorem 2.2, the K-groups of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ are given by the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}(H_0)$ and the cokernel $\operatorname{Coker}(H_0)$ of the matrix H_0 in $\mathbb{Z}^{NM}$. We will transform H_0 preserving isomorphism classes of the groups $\operatorname{Ker}(H_0)$ and $\operatorname{Coker}(H_0)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{NM}$ by the following operations called elementary operations on the matrix.

(A) Exchange two rows or two columns.

(B) Multiply a row or column by -1.

(C) Add an integer multiple of one row to another row, or of one column to another column.

(D) Add a row vector obtained by multiplication of an invertible matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$ of one row to another row, or of one column to another column.

The isomorphism classes of the groups of its kernel and its cokernel do not change under the elementary operations on the matrix. We will successively apply the above elementary operations to the matrix H_0 to obtain a diagonal matrix as in the following way.

(1) Add the minus of the $(i+1)$-th row to the i-th row in order for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ in H_0 to obtain the matrix below denoted by H_1 :

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} E_M - I_M & I_M - E_M & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & E_M - I_M & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & I_M - E_M & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & E_M - I_M & I_M - E_M \\ I_M & \dots & \dots & I_M & E_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) Add the i-th row to the $(i+1)$-th row in order for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ in H_1 to obtain the matrix below denoted by H_2 :

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} E_M - I_M & I_M - E_M & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & I_M - E_M & 0 \\ E_M - I_M & 0 & \dots & 0 & I_M - E_M \\ E_M & I_M & \dots & I_M & I_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

(3) Add the $E_M - I_M$ multiplication of the N-th row to the $(N-1)$-th row in H_2 to obtain the matrix below denoted by H_3 :

$$H_3 = \begin{bmatrix} E_M - I_M & I_M - E_M & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_M - I_M & 0 & \dots & 0 & I_M - E_M & 0 \\ E_M^2 - I_M & E_M - I_M & \dots & \dots & E_M - I_M & 0 \\ E_M & I_M & \dots & \dots & I_M & I_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

(4) Add the i-th row to the $(i-1)$-th row in order for $i = N-1, \dots, 2$ in H_3 to obtain the matrix below denoted by H_4 :

$$H_4 = \begin{bmatrix} p_M(N-1) & 0 & & \dots & & 0 \\ p_M(N-2) & E_M - I_M & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ p_M(2) & \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ p_M(1) & E_M - I_M & \dots & \dots & E_M - I_M & 0 \\ E_M & I_M & \dots & \dots & I_M & I_M \end{bmatrix}$$

where $p_M(i) = E_M^2 + (i-1)E_M - iI_M = (E_M + iI_M)(E_M - I_M)$ for $i = 1, \dots N-1$.

(5) Add the minus of the j-th column to the $(j-1)$-th column in order for $j = N, \dots, 3$ and the $-E_M$ multiplication of the second column to the first column

in H_4 to obtain the matrix below denoted by H_5 :

$$H_5 = \begin{bmatrix} p_M(N-1) & 0 & & \dots & & 0 \\ p_M(N-2) & E_M - I_M & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ p_M(2) & \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ p_M(1) & E_M - I_M & \dots & \dots & E_M - I_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & I_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

(6) Add the minus of the $(N-1)$-th column to the j-th column in order for $j = N-2, \dots, 2$ and the $-(E_M + I_M)$ multiplication of the $(N-1)$-th column to the first column in H_5 to obtain the matrix below denoted by H_6 :

$$H_6 = \begin{bmatrix} p_M(N-1) & 0 & & \dots & & 0 \\ p_M(N-2) & E_M - I_M & & & & \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ p_M(2) & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & \vdots & & \ddots & E_M - I_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & I_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

(7) Add the $-(E_M + (N-j)I_M)$ multiplication of the j-th column to the first column in order for $j = N-1, \dots, 2$ in H_6 to obtain the diagonal matrix below denoted by H_7 :

$$H_7 = \begin{bmatrix} p_M(N-1) & 0 & & \dots & & 0 \\ 0 & E_M - I_M & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & E_M - I_M & 0 \\ 0 & & \dots & & 0 & I_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

As $E_M^2 = ME_M$, we have $p_M(N-1) = (M+N-2)E_M - (N-1)I_M$. We thus have

Lemma 3.2.

$$\operatorname{Ker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM}) \textit{ in } \mathbb{Z}^{NM} \cong 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Coker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM}) \textit{ in } \mathbb{Z}^{NM} \\ \cong &\overbrace{\mathbb{Z}^M/(E_M - I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}^M/(E_M - I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M}^{(N-2)} \\ \oplus &\mathbb{Z}^M/((M+N-2)E_M - (N-1)I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is straightforward to see that the matrix $A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM}$ is invertivle by the formula (3.2). Since

$$\operatorname{Coker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM}) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^{NM} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{NM}/H_7\mathbb{Z}^{NM},$$

the formula for the cokernel is obvious. □

We will next compute the following groups to compute $\mathrm{Coker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_{NM})$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{NM}$.

(i) $\mathbb{Z}^M/(E_M - I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M$,

(ii) $\mathbb{Z}^M/((M+N-2)E_M - (N-1)I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M$

(i) As the matrix $E_M - I_M$ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

by the same operations (1), (2) to get the matrix H_2 from H_0, the matrix $E_M - I_M$ goes to the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the minus of the i-th row to the M-th row in order for $i = 1, \dots, M-1$, we have the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ M-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the j-th column to the first column for $j = 2, \dots, M$, we have the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ M-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which goes to the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $[1, 1, \dots, 1, M-1]$ by exchanging rows. Hence we see that

$$\mathbb{Z}^M/(E_M - I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M \cong \mathbb{Z}/(M-1)\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.3}$$

(ii) Put $e = (M+N-2) - (N-1) = M-1$ and $f = M+N-2$. Then we have

$$(M+N-2)E_M - (N-1)I_M = \begin{bmatrix} e & f & \dots & f \\ f & e & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & f \\ f & \dots & f & e \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

By a similar manner to the preceding operations from H_1 to H_5, one obtains the following matrix denoted by L_2 from the matrix (3.4)

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} e-f & f-e & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ e-f & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & f-e & 0 \\ e-f & 0 & \dots & 0 & f-e \\ e & f & \dots & f & f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the j-th column to the first column for $j = 2, \dots, M$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by L_3 :

$$L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f-e & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & f-e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & f-e \\ e+(M-1)f & f & \dots & f & f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exchang columns to obtain the matrix below denoted by L_4 :

$$L_4 = \begin{bmatrix} f-e & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & f-e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & f-e & 0 \\ f & \dots & f & f & e+(M-1)f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the minus of the j-th column to the $(j-1)$-th column in order for $j = 2, \dots, M-1$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by L_5 :

$$L_5 = \begin{bmatrix} f-e & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ e-f & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & e-f & f-e & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & f & e+(M-1)f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the i-th row to the $i+1$-th row in order for $i = 1, \dots, M-2$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by L_6 :

$$L_6 = \begin{bmatrix} f-e & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & f-e & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & f & e+(M-1)f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Put the 2×2 matrix $L_{(N,M)}$ by setting

$$L_{(N,M)} = \begin{bmatrix} f-e & 0 \\ f & e+(M-1)f \end{bmatrix}.$$

As $f - e = N - 1$, we have the following lemma with (3.3).

Lemma 3.3.

(i) $\mathbb{Z}^M/(E_M - I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M \cong \mathbb{Z}/(M-1)\mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) $\mathbb{Z}^M/((M+N-2)E_M - (N-1)I_M)\mathbb{Z}^M$

$$\cong \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z}}^{M-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2/L_{(N,M)}\mathbb{Z}^2.$$

It remains to compute the group $\mathbb{Z}^2/L_{(N,M)}\mathbb{Z}^2$. Put $n = N-1, m = M-1$. As $f-e = n$ and $f = m+n$, we have $e+(M-1)f = (M-1)(M+N-1) = m(m+n+1)$ so that

$$L_{(N,M)} = \begin{bmatrix} n & 0 \\ n+m & m(m+n+1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the minus of the first row to the second row in $L_{(N,M)}$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by $L_{n,m}$:

$$L_{n,m} = \begin{bmatrix} n & 0 \\ m & m(m+n+1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We may assume that $M \geq N$ and hence $m \geq n$.

If m is divided by n and hence there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m = nk$, by adding the $-k$ multiplication of the first row to the second row in $L_{n,m}$, the matrix goes to the diagonal matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & m(m+n+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N-1 & 0 \\ 0 & (M-1)(M+N-1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathbb{Z}^2/L_{(N,M)}\mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(M-1)(M+N-1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

Otherwise, by the Euclidean algorithm, we have lists of integers $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_j$ and $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{j+1}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} m &= nk_0 + r_0, & 0 < r_0 < n, \\ n &= r_0k_1 + r_1, & 0 < r_1 < r_0, \\ r_0 &= r_1k_2 + r_2, & 0 < r_2 < r_1, \\ &\dots \\ r_{j-2} &= r_{j-1}k_j + r_j, & 0 < r_j < r_{j-1}, \\ r_{j-1} &= r_jk_{j+1}, & 0 = r_{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

where $r_j = (m,n)$ the greatest common divisor of m and n. Put $g = m(m+n+1)$. Add the $-k_0$ multiplication of the first row to the second row in $L_{n,m}$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by $L_{n,m}(0)$:

$$L_{n,m}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} n & 0 \\ r_0 & g \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the $-k_1$ multiplication of the second row to the first row in $L_{n,m}(0)$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by $L_{n,m}(1)$:

$$L_{n,m}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & -k_1g \\ r_0 & g \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the $-k_2$ multiplication of the first row to the second row in $L_{n,m}(1)$ to obtain the matrix below denoted by $L_{n,m}(2)$:

$$L_{n,m}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & -k_1 g \\ r_2 & (1+k_1k_2)g \end{bmatrix}.$$

We continue these procedures as follows. Add the $-k_{2i-1}$ multiplication of the second row to the first row in $L_{n,m}(2i-2)$ to obtain the matrix dnoted by $L_{n,m}(2i-1)$. And add the $-k_{2i}$ multiplication of the first row to the second row in $L_{n,m}(2i-1)$ to obtain the matrix denoted by $L_{n,m}(2i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. The algorithm stops at $j+1 = 2i-1$ or $j+1 = 2i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We set

$$[k_0] = 1, \quad [k_1] = k_1, \quad [k_1, k_2] = 1 + k_1k_2, \quad [k_1, k_2, k_3] = [k_1, k_2]k_3 + [k_1], \quad \ldots$$

$$[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}] = [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]k_{j+1} + [k_1, \ldots, k_{j-1}].$$

Then we have

$$L_{n,m}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & -[k_1]g \\ r_0 & g \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L_{n,m}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & -[k_1]g \\ r_2 & [k_1, k_2]g \end{bmatrix},$$

and inductively

$$L_{n,m}(2i-1) = \begin{bmatrix} r_{2i-1} & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{2i-1}]g \\ r_{2i-2} & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{2i-2}]g \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_{n,m}(2i) = \begin{bmatrix} r_{2i-1} & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{2i-1}]g \\ r_{2i} & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{2i}]g \end{bmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. We denote by d the greatest common divisor (m, n) of m and n, so that $d = r_j$. Take $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = m_0 d$. Put $g_0 = m_0(m+n+1)$ so that $g = g_0 d$.

We have two cases.

Case 1: $j+1 = 2i-1$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$L_{n,m}(j+1) = \begin{bmatrix} r_{j+1} & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g \\ r_j & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g \\ d & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]g_0 d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the $-[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]g_0$ multiplication of the first column to the second column in the above matrix $L_{n,m}(j+1)$, and then exchange the rows to obtain the matrix below

$$\begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 2: $j+1 = 2i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$L_{n,m}(j+1) = \begin{bmatrix} r_j & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]g \\ r_{j+1} & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]g_0 d \\ 0 & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add the $[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j]g_0$ multiplication of the first column to the second column in the above matrix $L_{n,m}(j+1)$, and then exchange the rows to obtain the matrix below

$$\begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & [k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g \end{bmatrix}.$$

We reach the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 / L_{(N,M)}\mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{j+1}]g\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore we have

Theorem 3.5. *For positive integers $1 < N \le M \in \mathbb{N}$ and a specification κ of exchanging N-loops and M-loops in a graph with one vertex, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}$ is a simple purely infinite Cuntz-Krieger algebra whose K-groups are*

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}) \cong & 0, \\ K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[N],[M]}}) \cong & \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(N-1)\mathbb{Z}}^{M-2} \\ & \oplus \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(M-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(M-1)\mathbb{Z}}^{N-2} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}](M-1)(M+N-1)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

where $d = (N-1, M-1)$ is the greatest common divisor of $N-1$ and $M-1$, the sequence $k_0, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}$ of integers is the list of the successive integral quotients of $M-1$ by $N-1$ in the Euclidean algorithm, and the integer $[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}]$ is defined by inductively

$$\begin{gathered} [k_0] = 1, \qquad [k_1] = k_1, \qquad [k_1, k_2] = 1 + k_1 k_2, \qquad \dots \\ [k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j+1}] = [k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j] k_{j+1} + [k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}]. \end{gathered}$$

For the case $N = 2$ and $M \ge 2$, we have $d = 1, r_0 = 0$. We understand $[k_1, \dots, k_{j+1}] = [k_0] = 1$ so that we have

$$[k_1, \dots, k_{j+1}](M-1)(M+N-1) = 1 \times (M-1)(M+1) = M^2 - 1.$$

Hence

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{[2],[M]}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(M^2-1)\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.5}$$

If in particular, $M = 3$, the formula (3.5) is already seen in [14].

References

[1] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57**(1977) pp. 173–185.

[2] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56**(1980), pp. 251–268.

[3] V. Deaconu, *C^*-algebras and Fell bundles associated to a textile system*, preprint, arXiv:1001.0037.

[4] R. Excel, D. Gonçalves and C. Starling, *The tiling C^*-algebra viewed as a tight inverse semigroup algebra*, preprint arXiv:1106.4535v1.

[5] R. Excel and M. Laca, *Cuntz–Krieger algebras for infinite matrices*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **512**(1999), pp. 119–172.

[6] T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari and Y. Watatani, *Ideal structure and simplicity of the C^*-algebras generated by Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **159**(1998) pp. 295–322.

[7] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C^*-algebras*, New York J. Math. **6**(2000), pp. 1-20.

[8] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997) pp. 505–541.

[9] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK (1995).

[10] N. G. Markley and M. E. Paul, *Matrix subshifts for $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ symbolic dynamics*, Proc. London Math. **43**(1981), pp. 251–272.

[11] K. Matsumoto, *Actions of symbolic dynamical systems on C^*-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **605**(2007), pp. 23-49.

[12] K. Matsumoto, *Actions of symbolic dynamical systems on C^*-algebras II. Simplicity of C^*-symbolic crossed products and some examples*, Math. Z. **265**(2010), pp. 735-760.

[13] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with textile dynamical systems*, preprint, arXiv:1106.5092v1.

[14] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with Hilbert C^*-quad modules of C^*-textile dynamical systems*, preprint, arXiv:1111.3091v1.

[15] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with Hilbert C^*-multi modules (tentative title), in preparation.*

[16] M. Nasu, *Textile systems for endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **546**(1995).

[17] D. Pask, I. Raeburn and N. A. Weaver, *A family of* 2*-graphs arising from two-dimensional subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Sytems **29**(2009), pp. 1613–1639.

[18] D. Pask, I. Raeburn and N. A. Weaver, *Periodic* 2*-graphs arising from subshifts*, preprint arXiv:0911.0730.

[19] M. V. Pimsner, *A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both Cuntz–Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$*, in Free Probability Theory, Fields Institute Communications **12**(1996), pp. 189–212.

[20] G. A. Pino, J. Clark, A. A. Huff and I. Raeburn, *Kumjian-Pask algebras of higher rank graphs*, preprint arXiv:1106.4361v1.

[21] G. Robertson and Steger, *Affine buildings, tiling systems and higher rank Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **513**(1999), pp. 115-144.

[22] M. Rørdom, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, K-theory **9**(1995), pp. 31–58.

[23] K. Schmidt, *Dynamical systems of algebraic origin*, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin (1995).

[24] H. Wang, *Notes on a class of tiling problems*, Fundam. Math. **82**(1975), pp. 295–305.

Department of Mathematics, Joetsu University of Education, Joetsu 943-8512, Japan
E-mail address: `kengo@juen.ac.jp`